

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Department: Computer Science

Course: 225 Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 1998

Number of students responding: 16

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.96	5	3.80	.4023
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	8	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.78	7	3.59	.5874
C. Encouraged class participation	7	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.73	2	3.69	.5329
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.96	2	3.81	.4125
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	10	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.84	2	3.66	.5536
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	7	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.71	2	3.50	.7205
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	1	3.54	.6547
H. Explained the grading system clearly	12	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.93	0	3.60	.6137
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	12	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	4	3.73	.5161
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	10	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.84	1	3.57	.6445
K. Had a personal interest in me	10	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.89	1	3.60	.6370
L. Treated me with respect	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.98	2	3.80	.4663
M. Was available for help outside of class	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.91	2	3.70	.5477
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	10	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	0	3.42	.7147
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	12	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	0	3.64	.5668

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 2 Male: 14
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 8 Sophomore: 2 Junior: 3 Senior: 1 Other: 2
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?

Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 10

Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 1

Elective, not required in any way: 3

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
3	6	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	2	11	2	1

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	9	7	0	0	0	0	1.44	1.85	.9000
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	0	2	9	5	0	0	3.19	2.23	1.0190
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	0	1	2	0	13	3.67	2.11	.8974
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	3	9	2	0	0	1	1.93	2.02	.9925
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	6	10	0	0	0	0	1.63	1.92	.9565

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Department: Computer Science

Course: 488 Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 1998

Number of students responding: 13

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	3	3.80	.4023
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	10	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.82	13	3.59	.5674
C. Encouraged class participation	9	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	13	3.69	.5329
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	10	3.81	.4125
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	9	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.82	9	3.66	.5536
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	7	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.81	5	3.50	.7205
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	7	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.67	13	3.54	.6547
H. Explained the grading system clearly	9	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	1	3.60	.6137
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.98	7	3.73	.5161
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	7	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.75	2	3.57	.6445
K. Had a personal interest in me	11	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.92	1	3.60	.6370
L. Treated me with respect	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	11	3.80	.4663
M. Was available for help outside of class	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.95	2	3.70	.5477
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.98	0	3.42	.7147
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.95	0	3.64	.5668

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 4 Male: 9
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 1 Sophomore: 0 Junior: 3 Senior: 9 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?

Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 13
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 0

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
6	2	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	2	8	3	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	9	3	1	0	0	0	1.38	1.85	.9000
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	1	4	6	1	1	0	2.77	2.23	1.0190
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	0	0	0	0	13	0.00	2.11	.8974
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessions	0	1	0	0	0	12	2.00	2.02	.9925
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	4	7	0	2	0	0	2.00	1.92	.9565

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Department: Computer Science

Course: 295 Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 1998

Number of students responding: 21

— Campus —

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	17	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.86	5	3.80	.4023
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	13	5	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3.75	16	3.59	.5674
C. Encouraged class participation	14	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.83	16	3.69	.5329
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.97	12	3.81	.4125
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	15	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	10	3.66	.5536
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	12	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3.66	5	3.50	.7205
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	17	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3.81	21	3.54	.6547
H. Explained the grading system clearly	17	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.84	2	3.80	.6137
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	15	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	7	3.73	.5161
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	13	5	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.78	2	3.57	.6445
K. Had a personal interest in me	17	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	1	3.60	.6370
L. Treated me with respect	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	11	3.80	.4663
M. Was available for help outside of class	13	6	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.80	6	3.70	.5477
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	16	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3.81	1	3.42	.7147
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	16	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.86	0	3.64	.5668

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 5 Male: 16
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 5 Sophomore: 8 Junior: 5 Senior: 3 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?

Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 20
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 0

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
6	4	1	2	4	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	0	0	9	12

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	10	9	2	0	0	0	1.62	1.85	.9000
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	8	7	6	0	0	0	1.90	2.23	1.0190
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	2	1	3	1	0	14	2.43	2.11	.8974
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessions	1	2	3	0	1	14	2.71	2.02	.9925
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	10	8	3	0	0	0	1.67	1.92	.9565

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Department: Computer Science

Course: 225 Section: 1

Semester: Fall, 1997

Number of students responding: 16

--- Campus ---

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	13	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	4	3.77	.4371
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	7	5	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.72	2	3.53	.6334
C. Encouraged class participation	7	5	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.69	0	3.62	.6052
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.98	8	3.77	.4582
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	12	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.82	0	3.60	.6217
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	9	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.76	4	3.43	.7888
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	10	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.82	2	3.49	.6974
H. Explained the grading system clearly	8	5	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.72	0	3.54	.6738
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	12	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	1	3.67	.6228
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	10	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3.69	4	3.50	.7236
K. Had a personal interest in me	11	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.76	3	3.51	.7381
L. Treated me with respect	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.98	0	3.73	.6097
M. Was available for help outside of class	13	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.82	5	3.63	.6396
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	10	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.84	0	3.35	.7717
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	12	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	0	3.55	.6811

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 2 Male: 13
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 6 Sophomore: 5 Junior: 3 Senior: 1 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 13
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 1
 Elective, not required in any way: 1

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
8	3	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	1	9	4	2

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

--- Campus ---

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	10	6	0	0	0	0	1.38	1.92	.9399
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	0	3	9	1	3	0	3.25	2.23	.9847
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	1	0	2	0	0	13	2.33	2.16	.8978
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessions	6	6	2	1	0	1	1.87	2.11	1.0224
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	9	7	0	0	0	0	1.44	1.96	.9655

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Department: Computer Science

Course: 386 Section: 1

Semester: Fall, 1997

Number of students responding: 10

— Campus —

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.91	3	3.77	.4371
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.84	1	3.53	.6334
C. Encouraged class participation	6	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.77	1	3.62	.6052
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.97	5	3.77	.4582
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	7	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.83	0	3.60	.6217
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	7	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.77	1	3.43	.7886
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	7	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.87	1	3.49	.6974
H. Explained the grading system clearly	3	4	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.41	0	3.54	.6738
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	1	3.67	.6228
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	3	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.50	1	3.50	.7236
K. Had a personal interest in me	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	2	3.51	.7381
L. Treated me with respect	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.97	1	3.73	.6097
M. Was available for help outside of class	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	3	3.63	.6396
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	5	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.53	0	3.35	.7717
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	0	3.55	.6811

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 0 Male: 10
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 0 Junior: 7 Senior: 3 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 9
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 0
- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fall	No Credit
5	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	1	7	1	1

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	— Campus — Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	6	1	1	0	0	0	1.38	1.92	.9399
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	2	4	1	1	0	0	2.13	2.23	.9847
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.00	2.16	.8978
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessions	3	0	0	0	0	5	1.00	2.11	1.0224
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	6	1	1	0	0	0	1.38	1.95	.9655

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Department: Computer Science

Course: 480 Section: 1

Semester: Fall, 1997

Number of students responding: 8

--- Campus ---

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.63	2	3.77	.4371
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	3	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.76	2	3.53	.6334
C. Encouraged class participation	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.80	2	3.62	.6052
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.63	3	3.77	.4582
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.63	1	3.60	.6217
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	1	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.48	3	3.43	.7888
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	2	2	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.26	1	3.49	.6974
H. Explained the grading system clearly	3	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3.21	0	3.54	.6738
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	2	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.43	2	3.67	.6228
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	3.04	1	3.50	.7236
K. Had a personal interest in me	1	3	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.43	1	3.51	.7381
L. Treated me with respect	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.54	2	3.73	.6097
M. Was available for help outside of class	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.50	0	3.63	.6396
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	1	1	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3.03	1	3.35	.7717
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	1	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.41	0	3.55	.6811

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 5 Male: 2
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 0 Junior: 1 Senior: 7 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 8
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 0
- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
1	2	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	0	6	2	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

--- Campus ---

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	3	4	1	0	0	0	1.75	1.92	.9399
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	0	0	0	0	0	8	0.00	2.23	.9847
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	1	2	2	0	0	3	2.20	2.16	.8978
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessions	0	1	1	0	0	6	2.50	2.11	1.0224
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	2	3	3	0	0	0	2.13	1.96	.9655